RE: Foreshore Licence Application for Bantry Sewerage Scheme, Co. Cork – Cork County Council

Dear Sharon

In response to your query re above, please be advised that the proposed development has no implications from a Sea Fisheries Control point of view.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Donaí O’Callaghan

Sea Fisheries Officer
27th April 2006

Our Ref: M2005/47
Your Ref. MS51/8/972

Secretary General,
Coastal Zone Administration,
Department of Communications, Marine and Natural Resources,
Leeson Lane,
Dublin 2.

Re: Updated Underwater Archaeological Assessment Report by ADCO for Bantry Sewerage Scheme, Co. Cork.

A Chara,

Having received the updated archaeological assessment report by ADCO that included results from the recommended intertidal survey, the following are the further archaeological requirements of the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government.

The Underwater Archaeology Unit has no objections to this work being done but it should be subject to archaeological monitoring. This should take the following format:

Archaeological Monitoring:

- All impacts into the foreshore and sub-tidal zone should be subject to archaeological monitoring. This should be undertaken by a suitably qualified archaeologist with marine/underwater experience and should be licensed to the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government.

- The monitoring licence application should be accompanied by a detailed method statement and this should include details of plant/dredger type, duration of works and extent of works. The methodology should also include a find's retrieval strategy and details of the proposed archaeological monitoring team.

- It should be borne in mind that if significant archaeological remains are found during the archaeological monitoring, the monitoring archaeologist will have the power to have the works stopped. The works operator shall be prepared to move away from the area until the potential archaeology has been properly inspected and fully resolved. The developer shall be prepared to be advised by the Underwater Archaeology Unit of the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government in this regard.

Reason: To ensure the continued preservation (either in situ or by record) of places, caves, sites, features or other objects of archaeological interest.”

Mise le meas,

Finola Mc Donald
Development Applications Unit
Memorandum to | CZMD Foreshore section
---|---
Attention | Sharon Sexton
Fax No | 6783409
From | Nick Cantwell
No of Pages | 1
Date | 04 May 2005
Reference | MS51/8/972
 | Bantry Sewerage scheme. Cork
CC | 

- This office has no objection from a navigational safety point of view.

- The diffusers should be marked by a high visibility coloured float or similar to indicate position of same and hence discourage vessels anchoring in close proximity.

- The applicant is required to arrange the publication of a local marine notice. This local marine notice should give a general description of operations and approximate dates of commencement and completion. An advertisement in a locally read newspaper will suffice.

- The applicant is required to notify the local Harbour Master with respect to the safety of navigation of marine traffic in the river.

- The views of the divisional engineer should be sought.

Yours faithfully

N.W.Cantwell (Capt.)
Nautical Surveyor

---

1 This document (including all pages transmitted with this document) is for the addressee(s) only named above. It contains confidential information. It may also be covered by the Official Secrets Act.
25 April 2006

Ms. S. Sexton
CZMD

Engineering Report: Foreshore Licence Application
Bantry Sewerage Scheme, Bantry, Co. Cork
MS51/8/972

Applicant: Cork County Council
Site: Various locations around Bantry Town, Co. Cork
Facility: Sewerage Treatment Plant & Associated Outfalls

This application is for a number of wastewater outfalls and pipeline crossings as part of Cork County Council's Sewerage Treatment Plant development for the town of Bantry, Co. Cork. This application was originally submitted in July 2005, with additional information/clarification supplied over a number of months. I have examined all of the submitted documentation, inspected the sites and consulted with the applicant and their Consulting Engineers.

There are nine elements to this application. Some elements require the issuance of a full Foreshore Lease, while others require Section 10 approval only. Details of these nine elements, together with my recommendations are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Application Type</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Emergency Stormwater Outfall (400mm dia.)</td>
<td>Section 10 approval</td>
<td>I have no objection to this outfall in principle. However, this area is likely to be developed as a marina in the future and I recommend that this outfall be relocated further away from the town harbour area, or the engineering detail be amended to ensure that it will have zero impact on any future marine development at this location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Existing Stormwater Outfall (450mm dia.)</td>
<td>Foreshore Lease/Licence</td>
<td>I have no objection to this outfall remaining and I recommend that a Foreshore Lease/Licence be issued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Existing Stormwater Outfall (450mm dia.)</td>
<td>Foreshore Lease/Licence</td>
<td>I have no objection to this outfall remaining and I recommend that a Foreshore Lease/Licence be issued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Existing Stormwater Outfall (250mm dia.)</td>
<td>Section 10 approval</td>
<td>I have no objection to this outfall remaining and I recommend that a Section 10 approval be granted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Proposed Rising Main (250mm dia.)</td>
<td>Section 10 approval</td>
<td>I have no objection to the granting of a Section 10 approval for this element.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Proposed Rising Main (250mm dia.)</td>
<td>Section 10 approval</td>
<td>I have no objection to the granting of a Section 10 approval for this element.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Existing 250mm Outfall</td>
<td>Foreshore Lease/Licence</td>
<td>I have no objection to this outfall remaining and I recommend that a Foreshore Lease/Licence be issued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Proposed 250mm Rising Mains</td>
<td>Foreshore Lease/Licence</td>
<td>I have no objection to the granting of a Foreshore Lease/Licence for this element.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Proposed 400mm dia. Outfall</td>
<td>Foreshore Lease/Licence</td>
<td>I have no objection to the granting of a Foreshore Lease/Licence for this element.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I recommend that Foreshore Leases/Licences or Section 10 approval be granted to all of the above nine elements subject to the following:

(1) Item no. 1 (table above) - Engineering detail required.

(2) Engineering drawings of all the proposed elements will need to be submitted and checked by this office. (I did not receive a full set of the drawings referred to in the application).

(3) As there are major aquaculture farming activities in the harbour, proper environmental controls and monitoring will be required during the construction phase of the various elements. It is essential that construction/excavation/dredging sediments are not released into the water column. A method statement for the construction activities will need to be provided.

Adam Cronin  B.Eng., M.Sc., C.Eng., MI EI
Chartered Engineer

Engineering Division – Southern Region
Oak House
Bessboro Road
Mahon,
Cork

Ph:  021 4515100
Fax:  021 4515122
23 May 2005

Our Ref: M2005/47
Your Ref: MS51/8/972

Secretary General,
Coastal Zone Management Division,
Department of Communications, Marine & Natural Resources,
Leeson Lane,
Dublin 2.

Re: Foreshore Licence Application for Bantry Sewerage Scheme, Co. Cork
Cork County Council.

A Chara,

We refer to the Department’s notification in relation to the above-proposed development

The Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government has no objection to the proposed development from a nature conservation perspective.

In addition the application is also being examined from an archaeological perspective and comments will be forwarded when they are to hand.

Mise le meas,

Sinead Halloran,
Development Applications Unit.